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The bimorphinans 6 and 7 have been prepared from 14-O-methylnaloxone (2) and 14-O-methylnaltrexone (3), respectively. The known compounds 2 and 3 were sythesized by a different route than the route described. Bimorphinan 7 possessed a similar $x$ receptor affinity to norbinaltorphimine (1) but had a reduced selectivity due to marked increases in $\mu$-and $\delta$-receptor affinity. Bimorphinan 6 was both a less selective and less potent $\varkappa$ antagonist than 1.

Introduction. - The existence of three major types of opioid receptors ( $\mu, x$, and $\delta$ ) is generally recognized [2]. Endogenous ligands for the opioid receptors have been identified and their individual pharmacological activities explored [3-5]. In addition to the modulation of pain experience, opioid receptors and their endogenous peptide ligands are known to have important physiological functions [6] [7]. The fact that sub-populations of opioid receptors are frequently associated with different physiological effects has created a need for highly selective opioid antagonists which can be used as pharmacological and biological tools. Since it is desireable that ligands are stable against peptidases and are capable of entering the central nervous system easily, non-peptide antagonists have been

$2 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
$3 \mathrm{R}^{1}=$ Cyclopropylmethyl, $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
$4 \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{1}}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathbf{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}$ (naloxone)
$5 \mathrm{R}^{1}=$ Cyclopropylmethyl, $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}$ (naltrexone)

$1 \mathrm{R}^{1}=$ Cyclopropylmethyl, $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OH}$
$6 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$
$7 \mathrm{R}^{1}=$ Cyclopropylmethyl, $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$

[^0]developed for this purpose. Highly selective non-peptide, competitive antagonists have been reported for $\mu$ (cyprodime [8][9]), $\varkappa$ (norbinaltorphimine, 1 [10] [11]), and $\delta$ receptors (naltrindole [12]).

14- $O$-Methylnaloxone (2) and 14- $O$-methylnaltrexone (3) were found to possess similar pharmacological properties to the opioid antagonists naloxone (4) and naltrexone (5), respectively [13]. It was of interest to prepare, from 2 and 3, bimorphinans linked by a pyrrole spacer (compounds 6 and 7, respectively) and to determine the $x$-opioid-antagonist selectivity of these compounds in comparison to norbinaltorphimine (1), the corresponding bimorphinan of naltrexone.

Chemistry. - Since the preparation of 14-O-methylnaloxone (2) and 14-O-methylnaltrexone (3) by the method of Kobylecki et al. [13] gave, in our hands, unsatisfactory results in the last step of the reaction sequence ( $3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ether cleavage with $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ ), we sought for an alternative route for the synthesis of 2 and 3 . Our strategy was to perform the $3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ether cleavage at an earlier step of the synthesis. Thus, $3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ether cleavage of 14methoxycodeinone (8) [13] with $48 \% \mathrm{HBr}$ solution gave 14-methoxymorphinone (9) in good yield (Scheme). Catalytic hydrogenation of 9 over $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ afforded 14-O-methyloxymorphone (10) [14]. Next step, $N$-demethylation of 10 with 1-chloroethyl chloroformate, has been already described [15]. $N$-Normorphinan 11 was alkylated with allyl bromide in acetone at room temperature to afford 14- $O$-methylnaloxone (2).


$8 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
$9 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}$
$10 R^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, R^{2}=H$
$12 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}$
$11 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}$
$13 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHClCOO}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}$
$2 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}$
$14 R^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}$
$15 R^{1}=$ Cyclopropylmethyl, $R^{2}=\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}$
$3 \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{1}}=$ Cyclopropylmethyl, $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}$


## 6, 7


$16 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$
$17 \mathrm{R}=$ CyclopropyImethyl

For the synthesis of 14-O-methylnaltrexone (3), 14-methoxymorphinone (9) was first $3-\mathrm{O}$-benzylated, then the N -Me group of $\mathbf{1 2}$ was removed with 1 -chloroethyl chloroformate. Carbamate 13, which was not further purified and characterized, was refluxed in MeOH to yield $N$-demethyl derivative 14. Alkylation with cyclopropylmethyl chloride gave morphinone 15, which was hydrogenated over $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ to afford 14-O-methylnaltrexone (3).

Bimorphinans 6 and 7 were prepared via the azines 16 and 17 , respectively. Thus, treatment of compounds $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ with hydrazine hydrate in MeOH gave the azines 16 and 17, respectively. The desired pyrroles 6 and 7 were obtained by heating 16 and 17 with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ in DMSO.

Pharmacology. - Compounds 6 and 7 were evaluated in vitro for opioid-agonist and -antagonist properties in the isolated guinea-pig ileal longitudinal muscle preparation (GPI) and the mouse vas deferens preparation (MVD) [8] [9]. Antagonist potencies at the three opioid receptors were determined against normorphine ( $\mu$-selective agonist), ethylketocyclazocine ( $x$-selective agonist), and [D-Ala ${ }^{2}$, D-Leu ${ }^{5}$ ]enkephalin (a mixed $\mu / \delta$ agonist which is very $\delta$-selective in the MVD due to the high $\delta$-receptor reserve in this preparation, $c f$. the Table).

Table. Opioid Antagonist Activity of 6, 7, and Norbinaltorphimine (1) in the GPI and MVD

| Antagonist | $K e^{\text {a }}$ ) [nм] |  |  | Selectivity ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ethylketocyclazocine $\left.(x)^{b}\right)$ | Normorphine $\left.(\mu)^{b}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { [D-Ala } \left.{ }^{2} \text {, D-Leu }{ }^{5}\right] \\ & \text { enkephalin } \left.(\delta)^{c}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\mu / \chi$ | $\delta / x$ |
| 6 | 0.6 | 88 | 4.6 | 147 | 8 |
| 7 | 0.03 | 0.36 | 2.3 | 12 | 77 |
| 1 | 0.02 | 27 | 22 | 1350 | 1100 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) $K e=$ [antagonist $] / D R-1$, where DR is the dose ratio (i.e. ratio of equiactive concentrations of the test agonist in the presence and absence of the antagonist). ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ) Determined in the GPI. ${ }^{c}$ ) Determined in the MVD.

Bimorphinans 6 and 7 did not show any agonist activity in GPI and MVD. They exhibited opioid antagonist potency and preference for $\varkappa$ receptors. The affinity of compound 7 for $x$ receptors was similar to the affinity of norbinaltorphimine (1), while the $x$-selectivity of 7 was lower due to an increase in $\mu$ - and $\delta$-receptor affinity. Compound 6 exhibited ca. one-twentieth of the $x$-receptor affinity of 7 and possessed also a lower $\varkappa$ selectivity.

We are indebted to Alkaloida, Chemical Factory, H 4440 Tiszavasvari, for the generous gift of thebaine. We thank Prof. Dr. K.-H. Ongania for performing the mass spectra and Mag. H.-P. Kählig for recording the 300-MHz ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectra (both at the Institute of Organic and Pharmaceutical Chemistry, University of Innsbruck). We further thank Dr. J. Zak, Institute of Physical Chemistry, University of Vienna, for elemental analyses.

## Experimental Part

General. M.p.: Kofler melting-point microscope; uncorrected. Prep. TLC: silica-gel plates (Kieselgel 60 F; 2 mm ) from Merck; $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ 85:14:1. Column chromatography: basic alumina ( $70-230$ mesh ASTM) from Merck. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}: c$ in $\mathrm{g} / 100 \mathrm{ml}$, Perkin Elmer $14 I$ polarimeter. IR spectra ( $\mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{cm}}{ }^{-1}$ ): Beckman Acculab 2 apparatus. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra: Bruker $A M 300$ spectrometer or Jeol-JNM-PMX- 60 spectrometer; $\delta$ in ppm relative to TMS as internal reference, $J$ (apparent coupling constant) in Hz. CI-MS: Finnigan MAT 44S apparatus and $V G-7035$ mass spectrometer.

7,8-Didehydro-4,5 5 -epoxy-3-hydroxy-14 $\beta$-methoxy-17-methylmorphinan-6-one Hydrobromide $(=14 \beta$-Methoxymorphinone Hydrobromide; $9 \cdot \mathrm{HBr})$. A soln. of $\mathbf{8}[13](5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 15.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 20 ml of $48 \% \mathrm{HBr}$ soln. was refluxed for 17 min and then evaporated. The oily residue was crystallized from MeOH to yield $4.5 \mathrm{~g}(75 \%)$ of $9 \cdot \mathrm{HBr}$ as gray-green crystals. An anal. sample was obtained by recrystallization of a small amount from MeOH. M.p. $>260^{\circ}$ (dec.). $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}=-66.7(c=0.91, \mathrm{DMF})$. IR (KBr): 3430, $3140\left(\mathrm{OH},{ }^{+} \mathrm{NH}\right) ; 1675(\mathrm{CO}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\left(\mathrm{D}_{6}\right)\right.$ DMSO; 60 MHz ): $9.45\left(s, \mathrm{OH},{ }^{+} \mathrm{NH}\right) ; 6.82(d, J=10,1$ olef. H); $6.63(s, 2$ arom. H); $6.32(d, J=10,1$ olef. H), 5.07 ( $s, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ ); $3.22\left(s, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right) ; 2.90\left(s, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}\right)$. Anal. calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{HBr} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{MeOH}$ (410.29): C 54.16, H 5.41, N 3.41, Br 19.48; found: C 53.78, H 5.54, N 3.28, Br 19.33.

4,5 - -Epoxy-3-hydroxy-14 $\beta$-methoxy-17-methylmorphinan-6-one Hydrobromide $(=14-\mathrm{O}$-Methyloxymorphone Hydrobromide; $\mathbf{1 0} \cdot \mathrm{HBr})$ [14]. A mixture of $\mathbf{9} \cdot \mathrm{HBr}(2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 6.1 \mathrm{mmol}), 240 \mathrm{mg}$ of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$-catalyst, and 150 ml of MeOH was hydrogenated at r.t. and 30 psi for 2 h . The catalyst was filtered off, the filtrate evaporated, and the colorless crystalline residue treated with little MeOH to yield $2.0 \mathrm{~g}(83 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 0} \cdot \mathbf{H B r} . \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p} .>300^{\circ}$ (dec.) ([14]: $>300^{\circ}(\mathrm{dec} . ; \mathrm{MeOH})$ ). This material was identical by TLC, IR, and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ with authentic material [14].

4,5 -Epoxy-3-hydroxy-143-methoxy-17-(prop-2-enyl)morphinan-6-one ( $=14$-O-Methylnaloxone; 2) [13]. A mixture of $11 \cdot \mathrm{HCl}[15](1.48 \mathrm{~g}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, allyl bromide ( $0.41 \mathrm{ml}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(800 \mathrm{mg}, 9.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 50 ml of anh. acetone was stirred at r.t. for 1 week. After filtration, the filtrate was evaporated and the yellowish, oily residue crystallized with MeOH to give $2.56 \mathrm{~g}(43 \%)$ of 2 as colorless crystals. M.p. 195-198 ${ }^{\circ}$ ([13]: 197-199 ( $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ petroleum ether) ).

3-(Benzyloxy)-7,8-didehydro-4,5 -epoxy-14 $\beta$-methoxy-17-methylmorphinan-6-one (12). A mixture of $9 \cdot \mathrm{HBr}$ $(10.4 \mathrm{~g}, 25.35 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}(3.54 \mathrm{ml}, 29.8 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10.4 \mathrm{~g}, 75.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 50 ml of anh. DMF was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at r.t. for 20 h . After addition of 400 ml of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the mixture was extracted with AcOEt ( $3 \times 100$ $\mathrm{ml})$. The combined org. layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 200 \mathrm{ml})$ and brine, and then evaporated. The residue ( 8.25 g brown oil) was crystallized with MeOH to yield $6.4 \mathrm{~g}(60 \%)$ of 12 . M.p. $180-183^{\circ}$. A small portion was recrystallized from MeOH for analysis. M.p. $182-184^{\circ} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}=-35.6\left(c=0.9, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. IR (KBr): 1675 (CO). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} ; 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 7.34(m, 5$ arom. H$) ; 6.71(d, J=8,1$ arom. H$) ; 6.61(d, J=10,1$ olef. H$) ; 6.55(d$, $J=8,1$ arom. H); $6.26\left(d, J=10\right.$, 1 olef. H); $5.16\left(s, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ; 4.77(s, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(5)) ; 3.28\left(s, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right) ; 2.48\left(s, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)$. CI-MS: 404 ( $[M+I]^{+}$). Anal. calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ (403.48): C 74.42, H 6.25, N 3.47; found: C 74.40, H 6.37, N 3.58 .

3-(Benzyloxy)-7,8-didehydro-4,5x-expoxy-14 $\beta$-methoxymorphinan-6-one (14). A mixture of 12 ( $3.8 \mathrm{~g}, 9.44$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(6.4 \mathrm{~g}, 76.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, 1-chloroethyl chloroformate ( $8.3 \mathrm{ml}, 76.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(15 \mathrm{ml})$ was stirred for 20 h at $60-65^{\circ}$ (bath temp.). After filtration, the filtrate was evaporated to give 4.07 g of 13 as a slightly brown oil which was not further purified and characterized but refluxed in $\mathrm{MeOH}(15 \mathrm{ml})$ for 1 h . Then, the soln. was evaporated to give 3.87 g of a slightly brown foam which was alkalized with conc. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ soln. and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 40 \mathrm{ml}, 1 \times 20 \mathrm{ml})$. The combined org. layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{ml})$ and brine, dried, and evaporated to give 3.43 g of a brownish oil. This oil was chromatographed on alumina basic grade IV (length of the column 30 cm , diameter 3.5 cm , elution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to yield 2.9 g of colorless oil which was crystallized from MeOH to give $2.71 \mathrm{~g}(74 \%)$ of 12 . An anal. sample was obtained by recrystallization of a small sample from MeOH. M.p. $80-82^{\circ} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}=-13.4\left(c=1.06, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. IR ( KBr ): $3300(\mathrm{NH}), 1675(\mathrm{CO}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} ; 60 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 5$ arom. H$) ; 6.72(d, J=10,1$ olef. H$) ; 6.64(d, J=8,1$ arom. H$) ; 6.46(d, J=8,1$ arom. $\mathrm{H}) ; 6.27(d, J=10,1$ olef. H$) ; 5.08\left(s, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ; 4.63(s, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(5)) ; 3.20\left(s, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right) . \mathrm{CI}-\mathrm{MS}: 390\left([M+1]^{+}\right)$. Anal. calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \cdot 0.3 \mathrm{MeOH}$ (299.04): C 73.14, H 6.11, N 3.51; found: C 73.03, H 6.07, N 3.83.

3-(Benzyloxy)-17-(cyclopropylmethyl)-7,8-didehydro-4,5a-expoxy-14ß-methoxymorphinan-6-one (15). A mixture of $14(2.17 \mathrm{~g}, 5.6 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 15.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, cyclopropylmethyl chloride ( $0.61 \mathrm{ml}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and anh. DMF ( 10 ml ) was stirred at $90^{\circ}$ (bath temp.) for 20 h . After addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{ml})$, the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml})$, the combined org. layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 80 \mathrm{ml})$ and brine, dried, and evaporated to give 2.5 g of a brown oil. This oil was chromatographed on alumina basic grade II (length of the column 25 cm , diameter 3.5 cm , elution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give 1.9 g of a colorless oil which was crystallized from MeOH to yield $1.78 \mathrm{~g}(72 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 5}$. A small portion of this material was recrystallized from MeOH for analysis. M.p. $102-104^{\circ} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}=-85.9\left(c=1.03, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. IR ( KBr ): $1675(\mathrm{CO}) .{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} ; 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 7.35(m, 5$ arom. H) ; $6.73(d, J=8,1$ arom. H$) ; 6.69(d, J=10,1$ olef. H$) ; 6.52(d, J=8,1$ arom. H$) ; 6.22(d, J=10,1$ olef. $\mathrm{H}) ; 5.15\left(s, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ; 4.74(s, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(5)) ; 3.33\left(s, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right) . \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{MS}: 444\left([M+1]^{+}\right)$. Anal. calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \cdot 0.3$ MeOH (453.15): C 75.00, H 6.72, N 3.09; found: C 74.84, H 6.56, N 3.19.

17-(Cyclopropylmethyl)-4,5 $\alpha$-epoxy-3-hydroxy-14 $\beta$-methoxymorphinan- 6 -one ( $=14-\mathrm{O}$-Methylnaltrexone; 3) [13]. A mixture of $15(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol}), 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$-catalyst ( 200 mg ), and $\mathrm{MeOH}(50 \mathrm{ml})$ was hydrogenated at r.t. and $40-50 \mathrm{psi}$ for 5 h . The catalyst was filtered off and the filtrate evaporated. The residue ( 1.26 g slightly brown oil) was crystallized from (i- $\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to yield $1.07 \mathrm{~g}(89 \%)$ of 3. M.p. 184-187 ${ }^{\circ}$ ([13]: 187-188 ${ }^{\circ}$ (petroleum ether)).

14-O-Methylnaloxone Azine (16). A soln. of $2(500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and hydrazine hydrate ( $375 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \mathrm{ml})$ was refluxed for 24 h (the product (16) began to crystallize after ca. 3 h ). After cooling for 16 h at $+4^{\circ}, 306 \mathrm{mg}(61 \%)$ of 16 were isolated. M.p. > $190^{\circ}$ (dec.). IR ( KBr ): $3200(\mathrm{OH}), 1635(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$; $300 \mathrm{MHz}): 6.68(d, J=8,1$ arom. H); $6.52(d, J=8,1$ arom. H); $5.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ olef. H); 5.18 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2$ olef. H); $4.93(s$, $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ ); $3.21\left(s, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)$. Anal. calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (714.83): C 67.20, H 7.05, N 7.84 ; found: C 67.12 , H 6.83, N 7.78 .

14-O-Methylnaltrexone Azine (17). A soln. of $\mathbf{3}(560 \mathrm{mg}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and hydrazine hydrate ( $39.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.8$ mmol ) in MeOH ( 3 ml ) was refluxed for 21 h . After addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6 \mathrm{ml})$ and extractions with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{ml})$, the org. layers were combined, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$, and evaporated. The residue ( 545 mg brownish oil) was crystallized from MeOH to afford $472 \mathrm{mg}(82 \%)$ of 17 . An anal. sample was obtained by recrystallization of a small portion of this material from MeOH. M.p. > $195^{\circ}(\mathrm{dec}$.$) . IR (\mathrm{KBr}): 3350(\mathrm{OH}), 1630(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} ; 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 6.63(d, J=8,1$ arom. H$) ; 6.49(d, J=8,1$ arom. H$) ; 4.93(s, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(5)) ; 3.28\left(s, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)$. Anal. calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (733.87): C 68.73, H 7.28, N 7.63; found: C 68.37, H 7.16, N 7.70.
$6,6^{\prime}, 7,7^{\prime}$-Tetradehydro-4,5 $5: 4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime} \alpha$-diepoxy-14, $14^{\prime} \beta$-dimethoxy-17, $17^{\prime}$-di(prop-2-enyl)-6, $6^{\prime}$-(epimino) $\left[7,7^{\prime}-\right.$ bimorphinan $]-3,3^{\prime}-$ diol ( 6 ). A soln. of $2(300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ and MsOH ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anh. DMSO ( 3 ml ) was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $130^{\circ}$ (bath temp.) for 1 h . The cooled soln. was alkalized with conc. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ soln. extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$, the combined org. layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 15 \mathrm{ml})$, dried, and evaporated. The residue ( 287 mg brown oil) was purified by prep. TLC ( 2 plates were used) to give 79 mg ( $27 \%$ ) of 6 as slightly yellow powder. M.p. $>220^{\circ}(\mathrm{dec}$.$) . IR (\mathrm{KBr}): 3280(\mathrm{OH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} ; 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 6.65(d, J=8,2$ arom. $\mathrm{H}) ; 6.59\left(d, J=8,2\right.$ arom. H); 5.96 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2$ olef. H); $5.48\left(s, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(5), \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)\right.$ ); 5.33 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4$ olef. H); $3.12(s$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}(14), \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}\left(14^{\prime}\right)\right)$. CI-MS: $662\left([\mathrm{M}+1]^{+}\right)$. Anal. calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (697.80): C 68.84, H 6.79, N 6.02; found: C 68.58, H 6.57, N 5.99 .

17,17'-Bis (cyclopropylmethyl)-6, $6^{\prime}, 7,7^{\prime}$-tetradehydro-4,5 $: 4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime} \alpha$-diepoxy- $14 \beta, 14^{\prime} \beta$-dimethoxy- $6,6^{\prime}$-(epimino)[7, $7^{\prime}$-bimorphinan ]-3, $3^{\prime}$-diol (7). A soln. of 3 ( $332 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and MsOH ( $450 \mathrm{mg}, 4.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anh. DMSO ( 2 ml ) was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $130^{\circ}$ (bath temp.) for 1 h . The cooled soln. was alkalized with conc. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ soln., extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$, the combined org. layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$ dried, and evaporated. The residue ( 298 mg brown oil) was purified by prep. TLC ( 2 plates were used) to yield 74 mg ( $24 \%$ ) of 7 as slightly brown powder. M.p. $>200^{\circ}($ dec. $)$. IR $(\mathrm{KBr}): 3400(\mathrm{OH}) .{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} ; 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8$, 2 arom. H ); 6.48 ( $d, J=8,2$ arom. H ); $5.61\left(s, \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(5), \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)\right.$ ); $3.18\left(s, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}(14), \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}\left(14^{\prime}\right)\right.$ ). Cl-MS: $690\left([M+1]^{+}\right)$. Anal. calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (716.84): C 70.37, H 6.98, N 5.94 ; found: C 70.23, H 7.16, N 5.98 .

Pharmacology. See [8] [9].
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